( JC8)	A = VCC			
	( many #)	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del> , ·
DET U.S. JA	OCT 0 5 2004	10/667,178	MAEGLI, JACK WILLI	AM
ffice Action	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
SATENI & THE	STENT & IF	Tania C. Courson	2859	
	TE of this communication appea			SS
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATU THE MAILING DATE O  - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If the period for reply specified  - If NO period for reply is specifie  - Failure to reply within the set of Any reply received by the Office earned patent term adjustment  Status  1) Responsive to con 2a) This action is FIN.  3) Since this applicate closed in accordate  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/a	TTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY F THIS COMMUNICATION. lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136( mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply will develope the maximum statutory period will extended period for reply will, by statute, ca later than three months after the mailing de See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fause the application to become ABANDO ate of this communication, even if timely control is non-final.  e except for formal matters, parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	TH(S) FROM  The timely filed  I days will be considered timely.  Thom the mailing date of this community  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Filed, may reduce any   prosecution as to the me	nication.
5)☐ Claim(s) is 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> 7)☐ Claim(s) is	/are allowed. <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers		•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawi	s objected to by the Examiner. d on 11 February 2004 is/are: equest that any objection to the drang sheet(s) including the correction ation is objected to by the Example.	awing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119			
12) Acknowledgment i a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the application	s made of a claim for foreign pr	nave been received. nave been received in Applic documents have been rece PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stag	je
	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summi Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/667,178

Art Unit: 2859



#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. The species shown in Figures 1-3 and 6.
- II. The species shown in Figures 4-5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1appears to be generic.

2. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/667,178

Art Unit: 2859

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Jack W. Maegli on August 31, 2004, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I.(Figs. 1-3 and 6), claims 1, 2 and 4-6. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3 and 7-10 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

#### **Drawings**

- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters"1" through "6" have been used to designate inconsistent various parts in Figures 1-4 and 6. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to because of the following:
  - a) Fig. 5: This figure requires appropriately associated numerals to indicate what is being shown in the drawings in order to facilitate the invention.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,178

Art Unit: 2859

Page 4

#### Specification

- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a) The entire specification contains numerals "1" through "6" having numerous designations for each numeral, for example, Figure 1 designates "1" as "a primary gnomon" (specification, page 8, line 9) and Figure 2 designates "1" as "an equatorial ring" (specification, page 9, line 2). Each numeral should have only one designation, not multiple designations.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

- 7. Claims 1-2 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a) claim 1, in line 1, the preamble contains unclear language, "containing two single and independent time and date scales" should read "containing a single and independent time scale and a single and independent date scale";
  - b) claim 1, in line 7, "A time scale" should read "the time scale":
  - c) claim 1, in line 4, "the time scale." should read "the time scale;";
  - d) claim 1, in line 6, "date scale." should read "date scale;";
  - e) claim 2, in line 1, "an equatorial ring" should read "the equatorial ring", and;
  - f) claim 2, in line 1, "a single time scale" should read "the single time scale".
     Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,178 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 1-2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lewis (US 4,102,054).

Lewis discloses in Figures 1-4, a sundial comprising:

- a) a primary polar gnomon (Fig. 1, first member 18 & cord 26) with superimposed date scale (Fig. 1, indicia 22) for projecting a shadow on the time scale (Fig. 1), an equatorial ring (Fig. 1, second member 28, cord 30 and slip ring 34) or disc, the approximate top half serving as a secondary gnomon for projecting a shadow on the primary gnomon date scale (Fig. 1), the time scale represented on the approximate bottom half of the equatorial ring (Fig. 1, time indicia 36) or disc;
- b) where the equatorial ring is used and the single time scale is represented on the approximate bottom half of the equatorial ring (Fig. 1, second member 28, cord 30 and slip ring 34);
- c) where the primary gnomon has a date scale of the spring equinox months on one side and the fall equinox months on the other (Fig. 3 and column 4, lines 27-39);

Art Unit: 2859

- d) where a pivotal axle (Fig. 1, bolt 16) mounted perpendicular to the equatorial plane between the equatorial ring (Fig. 1) and a mounting bracket (Fig. 1, pedestal 12) allows adjustment to compensate for the longitudinal location and daylight savings time (Fig. 1 and column 4, line 50 through column 5, line 8);
- e) where the mounting bracket includes an adjustable latitude angular adjustment (Fig. 1 and column 4, line 50 through column 5, line 8).

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art cited on PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose a sundial:

Hughes, Jr. (US 6,604,290 B1)

Wurch et al. (US 4,237,611)

Ashton (US 4,135,357)

Gundlach (US 3,815,249)

Sunblad (US 2,754,593)

Mead (US 2,192,750)

Balch (US 1,570,029)

Dick (US 978,859)

Art Unit: 2859

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tania C. Courson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez, can be reached on (571) 272-2245.

The fax number for this Organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

per

DIEGO F.F. GUTIERREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 2859

TCC September 2, 2004

# Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No. 10/667,178	Reexaminati	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination MAEGLI, JACK WILLIAM		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Tania C. Courson	2859	Page 1 of 1		

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** 

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS  Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,604,290	08-2003	Hughes, Jr., Thomas R.	
	В	US-5,181,324	01-1993	Hein, Piet	33/270
	С	US-4,237,611	12-1980	Wurch et al.	33/270
	D	US-4,135,357	01-1979	Ashton, George	33/1DD
	E	US-4,102,054	07-1978	Lewis, Kemp S.	368/15
	F	US-3,815,249	06-1974	Gundlach, Robert W.	33/270
	G	US-2,754,593	07-1956	SUNDBLAD FOLKE W	33/269
	Н	US-2,192,750	03-1940	MEAD EVERETT K	33/270
	1	US-1,570,029	01-1926	BALCH SAMUEL W	33/270
	J	US-978,859	12-1910	Dick	33/270
T	к	US-		:	33/270
	L	US-			
	М	US-		· .	

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country Country	Name	Ot 15 11
	N				Nume	Classification
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					
				ON-PATENT DOCUMENT		

## NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		TOTAL ATENT DOCUMENTS
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	asistier, Editor of Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	٧	
	w	
	х	
A cop	y of thi	s reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.